

**21. Pennsylvania Power & Light Company**

[Docket No. ER95-675-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Pennsylvania Power & Light Company (PP&L), tendered for filing as initial rate schedules two contributions-in-aid-of-construction agreements (the Agreements) dated January 10, 1995, (1) between PP&L and Jersey Central Power Light Company, (Jersey Central) and (2) between PP&L and the Pennsylvania Electric Company (Penelec).

The purpose of the Jersey Central agreement is to enable PP&L to recover costs it incurred with respect to relay and control changes at PP&L's Martins Creed 230 kV Switchyard to accommodate the tapping of the PP&L's Martins Creed-Gilbert 230 kV Line to supply Jersey Central's new Morris Part Substation 230-34.5 kV transformer. The purpose of the Penelec agreement is to enable PP&L to recover costs associated with PP&L's installation of a Remote Terminal Unit at PP&L's Lackawanna Substation on behalf of Penelec to support its upgrade of antiquated telemetering equipment.

PP&L states that copies of the filing were served on Jersey Central and Penelec.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**22. Virginia Electric and Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-676-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, Virginia Electric and Power Company (Virginia Power), tendered for filing a Rate Schedule Supplement which unilaterally amends five interconnection agreements between Virginia Power and its interconnected neighboring utilities, and Service Schedules B and C in Virginia Power's Power Sales Tariff.

The Rate Schedule Supplement sets forth the method of recovery of emission allowance costs in coordination power sales. An effective date of May 1, 1995 is requested.

A copy of the filing was served upon affected companies as well as upon the Virginia State Corporation Commission and the North Carolina Utilities Commission.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**23. The Washington Water Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-677-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, The Washington Water Power Company

(WWP), tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission pursuant to 18 CFR 35.12, an Agreement for sale of capacity and associated energy to The City of Riverside, California (Riverside) each year from May through October for ten (10) years.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**24. New England Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-678-000]

Take notice that on March 1, 1995, New England Power Company (NEP) submitted for filing an Amended Contract with its affiliate, Massachusetts Electric Company (MECO). The Amended Contract is executed pursuant to Schedule III-C of NEP's FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1.

According to NEP, it provides for the sale from NEP to MECO of electricity on an interruptible basis for resale by MECO to one of its retail customers.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**25. The Detroit Edison Company Consumers Power Company**

[Docket No. ER95-681-000]

Take notice that on March 2, 1995, Consumers Power Company (Consumers), acting on behalf of itself and as agent for The Detroit Edison Company (Detroit Edison), tendered for filing various rate schedule changes to Consumers Power Company Rate Schedule FPC No. 41 and The Detroit Edison Company Rate Schedule FPC No. 22.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Michigan Public Service Commission, Consumers and Detroit Edison.

*Comment date:* March 23, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

**Standard Paragraphs**

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies

of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-6487 Filed 3-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11346-001 Iowa]

**FORIA Hydro Corp.; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment**

March 10, 1995.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 525 FR. 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for minor license for the proposed Fort Dodge Mill Dam Hydroelectric Project, located on the Des Moines River, Webster County, Iowa, and has prepared a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the project. In the FEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the existing project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate mitigation measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the FEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 3104, of the Commission's offices at 941 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary*

[FR Doc. 95-6457 Filed 3-15-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-191-000]

**Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Assessment for the Proposed OK-TEX AMARILLO PROJECT and Request for Comments on Environmental Issues**

March 10, 1995.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or the Commission) will prepare an environmental assessment (EA) that will discuss the environmental impacts of the construction and operation of facilities proposed in the OK-TEX